

May 10, 1973

(Start of Belt #17)

means that the cost of education in your school district cannot go up over 8% but if you go up 9% or 10, or 20, it is no penalty in it so you can go up above that and it has no penalty. If they had a penalty like it had before, it would have been something different where you lost 1% of your state aid for every percent you went up. So, we can go with this. We can live with it and if you want to make it effective in the year '74-'75, probably would be the best amendment that you could put on it because this year 70% of the schools have got their salaries set. So, it means..it really means there is no penalty to I don't know what would happen to it..nothing.

PRESIDENT: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: In response to Senator Keyes. Senator Keys, in response to your comment I would like to point out that the salaries in most of these schools have been set but what it says is, if there is an increase over this amount that their local districts will be paying the extra amount, not the money they get from the state, and since their school Board is the one who sets it and the people of that district have direct access to their school board members, I think there won't be any problem in the state of Nebraska.

SENATOR KEYES: You are so right, Senator Marsh, this does nothing, penalty, so far as your state money is concerned.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion of 172? There is no question, that's the problem. Motion is..we need a motion to advance the bill.

SENATOR KEYES: I'll make a motion we advance the bill as amended.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Keyes. The motion is to advance 172 as amended. All those in favor of the motion, please say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. So ordered, the bill is advanced.

CLERK: Now, Mr. President. Mr. President, I move to return LB 315 to Select File for specific amendment. Signed, Senator Goodrich. The specific amendment is as follows-- read.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Is this on 315?

PRESIDENT: Yes.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members of the body, the.. first of all, the appropriate motion is to bring it back for this amendment. Right.

PRESIDENT: Correct. Return it for a specific amendment.

SENATOR GOODRICH: OK. I am asking this bill to be brought back so that we can adopt the second half of the Goodrich amendment that we proposed the other day and that everybody seemed to be agreeable on before we proposed it, but we actually missed the adoption of this amendment by one vote when we were taking it on General..ya, on, previously on Select File rather because it took 25 votes and we thought we had the 25 but it turned out we only had 24. This is the amendment which was the second half of my original proposal, original amendment, that says and this one says, provided that any law enforcement officer may own up to 5% of the stock in any organization holding a liquor license